

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,025	08/02/2005	Antonio Carrus	07040.0214-00000	5441	
22852 FINNEGAN I	7590 01/04/200 HENDERSON, FARAI	EXAMINER			
LLP	ilive in the interest of the i	FISCHER, JUSTIN R			
	RK AVENUE, NW ON, DC 20001-4413	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
W10111.		. 1733			
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS 01/04/2007			· · PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

/
//
7)
-

			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/527,025	CARRUS ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Justin R. Fischer	1733					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 Ma</i>	erch 2005.	٠	•			
•—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
			, ,	•				
•	ion of Claims		χ.		•			
	Claim(s) 19-42 is/are pending in the							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>19-22,29-34,36-39,41 and</u>	<u>42</u> is/are re	jected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>23-28,35 and 40</u> is/are objection		•					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	·		:			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a) <u>□</u> acce	pted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the d	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PT	ΓO-152.			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
. —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3805.		6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robert (GB 1,147,875). Robert is directed to a temperature indicating paint comprising at least one reactive substance (melt sensitive component) and at least one dye, wherein the dye changes color as a result of the paint being heated above the melting point or threshold temperature of the melt sensitive component (e.g. melt sensitive component reacts with dye above melting point).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 19-22, 29, 31-34, and 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckland (CA 781,210) and further in view of Robert. Buckland is directed to a pneumatic tire construction having a temperature indicating means arranged on the outer surface of the tire (Page 2, Lines 30+). The reference further teaches that temperature indicating means can be a variety of substances that are

Art Unit: 1733

supplied by "paint" manufacturers specializing in the heat indicator field (Page 4, Lines 15-18). The reference, however, fails to specifically suggest a temperature indicating means comprising a reactive substance and a dye. Robert, on the other hand, discloses a temperature indicating paint that is precise and easily recognizable- in this instance, Robert is broadly directed to the use of temperature indicating paints (Page 1, Lines 9-30). Given the teachings of Buckland, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to use a wide variety of temperature indicating paints, including the temperature indicating paint of Robert comprising a reactive substance and a dye for the reasons detailed above. It is emphasized that Robert is broadly directed to a temperature indicating paint and the use of such a paint in the tire of Buckland is consistent with the teachings of Buckland to use of temperature indicating means that is supplied by "paint" manufacturers.

As to claims 20 and 21, Buckland suggests the use of two strips of temperature indicating material- such language suggests the use multiple reactive substances since they are designed to indicate different temperatures (Page 7, Lines 29-32).

With respect to claim 22, Figures 1-3 depict the temperature indicating means as being positioned in the shoulder region. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that Buckland envisioned a plurality of arrangements as long as the temperature indicating means was on the tire rubber itself, as opposed to being attached to the interior of the mold (Page 3, Lines 1-10). Lastly, applicant ha snot provided a conclusive showing of unexpected results to establish a criticality for the claimed arrangement.

Art Unit: 1733

As to claim 29, the paint of Robert contains an inorganic pigment or opaque medium (Page 1, Lines 65-86).

With respect to claims 31-34 and 36-39, the paint of Robert includes a binder constituent, such as phenolic, silicon, or epoxide resin, that is dissolved or dispersed in a solvent (Page 1, Lines 50-55). These materials are seen to be "cross-linkable" materials. Furthermore, the language "low" temperature vulcanizing properties and "low" temperature polymerizing properties does not define over the binder materials noted above since the language comprises relative terms (anything can be viewed as low). A better way to define the binder might be to include the specific binder materials if such an embodiment is desired.

5. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckland and Robert as applied in claim 29 above and further in view of Hetson (US 4,155,887). As detailed above, the temperature indicating paint of Robert includes an inorganic pigment. In this instance, the reference provides an exemplary use of "Permanent Blue" (Page 1, Lines 80-85). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to use of the well known inorganic pigments that are commonly used in paint formulations, such as titanium dioxide, calcium carbonate, silica, and sodium sulfate. Hetson provides one example in which the above noted inorganic pigments are alternatively described as being usable in paint formulations (Column 6, Lines 57-65). Absent any conclusive showing of unexpected results, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it

Art Unit: 1733

obvious to include any of the well known inorganic pigments in the paint formulation of Robert.

6. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckland and Robert as applied in claim 19 and further in view of Kubota (US 3,607,498). As detailed above, Buckland teaches a pneumatic tire construction having a temperature indicating paint applied to the outer surface of said tire and while the claimed paint is not expressly described by Buckland, Robert evidences the known use of temperature indicating paints comprising a dye and a reactive substance. In this instance, Robert recognizes the desire to sufficiently adhere the paint to a given surface and suggests the inclusion of a binder in the paint formulation (Page 1, Lines 45-55). Alternatively, it is known to apply the paint onto an adhesive that contacts the tire surface, as shown for example by Kubota (Column 3, Lines 40-50). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to apply the paint to an adhesive as it represents a suitable and accepted means to apply a paint to a tire outer surface and applicant has not provided a conclusive showing of unexpected results to establish a criticality for the use of an adhesive.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 23-28, 35, and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1733

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin R. Fischer whose telephone number is (571) **272-1215**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1733

JRF December 28, 2006